Leptospirosis is a zoonotic disease of global significance with the incidence ranges from approximately 0.1–1/100,000 year in temperate climates to 10–100/100,000 in the humid tropics [1,2]. The incidence rate in India is 50/100,000/year. The WHO outbreak verification list reported an increase in the cases of leptospirosis in the state of Kerala, India, after the monsoon rains with 616 cases with 53 deaths [3]. Annually 58,900 deaths are due to several cases of leptospirosis worldwide [4]. The mortality rate in the cases of severe leptospirosis is in the range of 5–40%. The mild form (90%) of the illness is rarely fatal [5]. Wide varieties of serological tests are available or the available tests have low sensitivity during the early phase of disease as these tests detect antibodies [6]. Hence, the present study aimed to assess the usefulness of Faine’s and modified Faine’s criteria in the diagnosis of leptospirosis in children.
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Methods

The present study was a descriptive observational study which was conducted for 2 years on children from 1 year to 12 years of age hospitalized in a tertiary care hospital. The inclusion criteria consisted of children with fever lasting for more than 7 days of duration and feature suggestive of leptospirosis, including headache, myalgia, conjunctival suffusion, meningitis, and jaundice. Fever with obvious foci such as abscess, cellulitis, lymphangitis, or any child with a confirmed diagnosis other than leptospirosis at the time of discharge was excluded from the study. The sample size was calculated on the basis of the expected proportion of 21% with a confidence interval of 84% and alpha error 0.05 and calculated as 91, with variation 40%.

Children satisfying the inclusion criteria were subjected to a detailed history and thorough physical examination by a single observer. Faine’s and modified Faine’s scoring were carried out at the time of admission. Pro forma was filled up. 3 ml of the blood sample was obtained by clean venipuncture with asepsis in a sterile syringe and MAT was carried out, apart from the routine investigations. Blood obtained by venipuncture was allowed to clot at room temperature, centrifuged and then MAT was carried out in (Panbio-kit) with nine live culture antigens (icterohemorrhagiae, Australis, Autumnalis, Hebdomads, Grippotyphosa, Canicola, Pomona, Patoc, and Batavia) using standard microtiter methodology.

The sera were initially screened at dilutions of 1:20 and those that were positive were titrated further to the endpoint. The highest dilution of serum that agglutinated 50% of leptospiroae under dark field microscopy was presumed to represent the titer of antibody specific for the particular serogroup used. When two or more serogroups reacted at the same (highest) titer, the result was recorded as mixed-equal. Controls were put up for each one of the batteries of antigens used in the test. An initial titer of greater than or equal to 1:160 or a four-fold rise in titer of MAT was considered significant for the diagnosis of *Leptospira* infection.

The other tests performed were complete blood count, ELISA-dengue, Widal test, liver function tests, electrolytes, urea, creatinine, blood-enteric and non-enteric culture, chest X-ray, ultrasound abdomen, and CSF analysis (for meningeal signs). The second sample of blood was taken after 2 weeks of the 1st sample for paired sera analysis of MAT either before discharge or at the review.

Recommended Case Definition

Suspected leptospirosis is a case compatible with the clinical description and presumptive laboratory diagnosis. Confirmed leptospirosis is a suspected case with confirmatory laboratory diagnosis [12].

Faine’s Criteria

Faine’s criteria are having Part-A with clinical data, Part-B with epidemiological factors, and Part-C with bacteriological lab findings with specified scores for each parameter. If the patient’s score is >25, then leptospirosis can be diagnosed as shown in Table 1.

Modified Faine’s Criteria

Certain modifications have been made in the epidemiological (Part B) and the laboratory criteria (Part C) of the original Faine’s criteria. Amendments were done in 2012 to make the diagnosis more practical [13]. In the modified Faine’s criteria rapid tests (ELISA/Macroscopic slide agglutination test [MSAT]) have been introduced in Part C and rainfall has been included in Part B to make the diagnosis early and simple to promptly start the treatment earlier [14].

Statistical Analysis

Proportion, mean and standard deviation (Mean±SD) of the outcome variables as applicable were collected and analyzed. Faine’s criteria for the diagnosis of leptospirosis were assessed by comparing the results with the gold standard of MAT by arriving at sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and overall accuracy.

Results

Out of the total of 91 children, who fulfilled the inclusion criteria, 57 children were male and 34 were female and maximum cases reported were between 2 and 6 years with a mean age of 5.41±1.41 years. All had a fever with a temperature of >39°C followed by myalgia (76%) and headache (69%). About 79% of the
cases had a history of contact with the contaminated environment, whereas history of contact with the animals was present in 53% cases. Validation of Faine’s criteria and modified Faine’s criteria with MAT is tabulated in Table 2. Out of 23 children diagnosed as leptospirosis using Faine’s criteria, two were MAT positive. Out of 68 children who were leptospirosis negative utilizing Faine’s criteria, 52 were MAT negative.

The performance characteristics of Faine’s criteria consisted of 11% sensitivity, 71.2% specificity, 59.3% of efficacy, 8.7% of PPV, 76.5% of NPV, 28.76% of false positivity rate, and 88.88% of false negativity rate, the positive likelihood ratio was ±0.386, whereas the negative likelihood ratio was ±1.248. Observations from the Cohen’s Kappa test were −0.160 with a slight agreement, whereas the observations from the McNemar test were 0.511, which was not significant. Validation of modified Faine’s with MAT is shown in Table 2. Out of 39 children diagnosed as leptospirosis by modified Faine’s criteria, 17 were MAT positive; whereas out of 52 children where leptospirosis was ruled out using modified Faine’s criteria, 51 were also MAT negative, which is statistically significant.

Performance characteristics of modified Faine’s criteria were as follows: Sensitivity – 94.4%, specificity – 69.9%, efficacy – 74.7%, PPV – 43.6%, NPV – 98.1%, false positivity rate – 30.13%, and false negativity rate – 5.55%; positive likelihood ratio was 3.314, whereas the negative likelihood ratio was 0.080. The observations from the Cohen’s Kappa test were 0.447 with a moderate agreement, and the McNemar test was <0.001, which was highly significant.

DISCUSSION

Clinical diagnosis of leptospirosis is difficult; hence, a high index of suspicion is required for the diagnosis when a patient presents with fever, headache, and myalgia. Similar observations in the differential diagnosis of leptospirosis have been made in earlier studies [15]. A total of 18 (20%) out of 91 children in the series were tested positive by MAT. A serosurvey undertaken by Ratnam et al., among conservancy workers in Chennai using MAT found a seropositive prevalence rate ranging between 17.8% and 40.5% [16].

The sensitivity of modified Faine’s criteria (94.4%) to diagnose leptospirosis was significantly higher than that of Faine’s criteria (11.1%). The specificity of modified Faine’s criteria to diagnose leptospirosis was almost equal to that of Faine’s criteria (69.9% vs. 71.2%). The sensitivity of 11.1% and specificity of 71.2% for Faine’s criteria, observed in the present study, are less than that reported by Rao et al. in 2009 (88.9% and 80.2%, respectively) [17]. The contradictions of the present study with might be due to the fact that the children undertaken in the study might have not complained about occurring headache and myalgia in a similar fashion with that of adults, while the second possible scenario is conduct of the study in an endemic area in contrast to a non-endemic area where Rao and team conducted their study.

The sensitivity and specificity (94.4% and 69.9%) for modified Faine’s criteria in the present study were similar to the observations in an earlier study [18]. The reason why modified Faine’s criteria had higher sensitivity and specificity is probably that IgM Elisa and MSAT have been used in making the diagnosis of leptospirosis [19,20]. The efficacy of modified Faine’s criteria (74.7%) was better than that of Faine’s criteria (59.3%). When validated the Faine’s criteria with the gold standard test (MAT); out of 91 patients, two were both MAT and Faine’s criteria positive and while 52 were both negative. On the contrary, 17 out of 91 patients were both MAT and modified Faine’s criteria positive and 51 were both negative [21].

The present study had certain limitations such as cultures which are considered as the gold standard to diagnose leptospirosis were not performed as they are very difficult to maintain, are laborious, and are time consuming [22]. As per the WHO criteria, an only presumptive diagnosis of leptospirosis was made. Cases in our series were studied only after the 5 days of the illness, and all the three serological tests (MAT, MSAT, and IgM ELISA) were positive only in about 3.29% of children. Another major limitation of the present study was the small study population.

CONCLUSION

The modified Faine’s criteria can potentially provide a better diagnosis than the Faine’s criteria. The modified Faine’s criteria might be utilized as a diagnostic test for leptospirosis, particularly in areas of high prevalence in resource-poor developing countries where MAT cannot be done. This will enable the pediatricians to start an early treatment with specific antibiotics for the leptospirosis.
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Table 2: Validation of Faine’s criteria and modified Faine’s criteria with MAT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faine’s criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Faine’s criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAT: Microscopic agglutination test
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