Uniocular high myopia: A case series
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ABSTRACT
Anisometropic myopia is a rare and unique entity in which the two eyes of the same individual have grown unequally. This poses the risk of amblyopia in the more myopic eye if not detected and corrected early in life. Here, we present a case series of four cases of uniocular amblyopia. In all the four cases, there was a disparity in axial length of the two eyes. The other parameters including keratometry readings were normal in all the cases. This led to uniocular myopia. The anisometropic amblyopia hence caused led to a decrease of vision in the concerned eyes. This unilateral amblyopia caused could have been easily prevented if the timely diagnosis had been made and treatment instituted early in life.
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CASE SERIES

CASE 1

A 40-years-old male presented to Eye OPD with the chief complaint of a history of decreased vision in both his eyes (R>L) since childhood. On General physical examination, his vitals were stable and systemic examination was within normal limits. On local examination, his visual axis and pupillary reactions were normal. His vision in the right eye was finger counting (FC) 1m with an error of -21.0 DSph (after which he showed no improvement). His anterior chamber was normal on slit-lamp examination. The vitreous was degenerated and showed abundant vitreous strands. The foveal reflex was dull and chorioretinal degeneration was present. The vision in the left eye was 6/18 which improved to 6/9 with -1.0DSph. The rest of the examination was normal in the left eye.

The intraocular pressure (IOP) was 12 and 14 mmHg in the right and left eye, respectively. The axial length was 29.41mm

Figure 1: Difference seen in axial lengths of the two eyes of the same patient (case 1).
in the right eye and 23.74mm in the left eye. The K1 and K2 readings were 44.05D/44.26D and 44.85D/44.30D in the right and left eye respectively. The screenshot of axial length of both the eyes was as shown in Fig. 1. The diagnosis of uniocular high myopia with anisometropic amblyopia was made. There was nothing that could be offered in the form of treatment as he had uniocular amblyopia for a long time (since childhood). The patient is on regular follow-up and has been told to report if he experiences any flashes of light or sudden onset of floaters.

CASE 2

A 35-year-old female presented to Eye OPD with a history of decreased vision in both her eyes (L>R) since childhood. Her general physical and systemic examination was within normal limits. On local examination, the visual acuity in her right eye was 6/60 which improved to 6/9 with -4.5DSph. The rest of the examination was normal. The left eye had a visual acuity of FC 1 foot with an error of -20.0DSph with which the patient showed no improvement. The fundus examination showed enlarged optic disc with myopic crescent and peripapillary atrophy and chorioretinal degeneration. The IOP was 11 and 15 mmHg in the right and left eye respectively. The axial length was 24.93 mm in the right eye and 29.60 mm in the left eye. The K1 and K2 readings in the right and left eye were 44.05D and 44.30D respectively and 44.85D and 46.65D in the left eye respectively. Her Optical Coherence Topography (OCT) picture was as shown in Fig. 2. She was prescribed glasses for her right eye as it showed improvement to 6/9 and was kept under regular follow-up and report early if she had experienced and floaters or flashes.

CASE 3

A 42-years-old male presented in Eye OPD with the chief complaint of a history of sudden decrease of vision in his right eye which already had decreased vision since childhood. His general and systemic examination was found to be normal. On ocular examination, his visual axis and pupillary reactions were normal. His vision in the right eye was hand movements close to face (HMCF) with an error of -10.0 DSph (after which he showed no improvement). His anterior chamber was normal on slit-lamp examination. The vitreous was degenerated and showed abundant vitreous strands and cells in vitreous. The fundus examination showed enlarged optic disc with a myopic crescent. There was a fresh retinal detachment (RD) inferiorly (Fig. 3). The vision in the left eye was 6/6. The rest of the examination was normal in the left eye.

The IOP was 4 and 16 mmHg in the right and left eye respectively. The axial length was 27.77mm in the right eye and 23.31mm in the left eye. The K1 K2 readings in the right were 40.10D and 41.50D respectively and 41.20D and 41.65D in the left eye respectively. He was referred to a vitreoretinal surgeon for his retinal detachment.

CASE 4

A 24-years-old male presented to Eye OPD with the chief complaint of a history of decreased vision in his left eye for which he wanted a visual disability certificate. The general physical examination was normal. Onocular examination, his visual axis and pupillary reactions were normal. His vision in the right eye was 6/6 with no error. His anterior chamber was normal on slit-lamp examination. The vision in the left eye was HMCF which showed no improvement and had an error of -12DSph with -2.0D Cyl at 180 degrees.

The fundus examination showed enlarged optic disc with myopic crescent and peripapillary atrophy and chorioretinal degeneration. The IOP was 16 and 18 mmHg in the right and the left eye respectively. The axial length was 23.83mm in the right eye and 27.06mm in the left eye. The K1 K2 readings in the right were 44.05D and 44.25D respectively and in the left eye were 44.27D and 43.78D respectively. He was issued a visual disability certificate with 30% visual disability and kept under regular follow-up.
DISCUSSION

Anisometropia decreases during the early years of life (presumably through emmetropization and binocular vision development) and increasing during childhood and adolescence (associated with myopia development), throughout middle age (approximately 30 to 50 years) the prevalence of anisometropia remains relatively stable. This may be related to the stability of distance refraction during this period of adult life [5,6,7,8,9]. So, in all our four cases the amblyopia had set in at an early age but due to ignorance these patients never seemed medical advice.

Uniocular high myopia, though, a rare finding could either be due to different axial lengths or different refractive powers (corneal or lenticular) of both the eyes. To differentiate between the two, we find out the axial length and the corneal powers of both the eyes. It has been found that axial length elongation of the more myopic eye was responsible for the unilateral high myopia in 94% of the cases as shown by results in other studies [10,11]. In all our 4 cases too, the different axial length was the cause of anisometropia.

The main aim of treatment in such cases is a good binocular vision. Effective myopia control results in less severe myopia and less vitreous chamber elongation than would otherwise occur [12]. Pang Yi et al showed improvement in visual acuity of the amblyopic eye in children aged 4-14 years if a full refractive correction was accompanied with patching and near activities [13]. A study by Pollard et al reported visual acuity of 20/40 or better in patients of unilateral myopia after amblyopia treatment which was possible only if such cases were detected earlier than 6-7 years of age [14]. The Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group studies 507 amblyopic subjects 7 to 17 years and referred that younger age was associated with greater visual acuity [15].

Amblyopia treatment was not possible in our cases as the age of the patients was past the age of amblyopia treatment. The uniconular decrease in vision in all the 4 cases could be attributed to anisometropic amblyopia. Also, all the cases had no associated findings such as strabismus, aniridia, cataract etc which could have also led to early detection. The prognosis for good vision with normal binocularity lied in the early detection and timely management of these cases with full refractive correction, patching, and near activities.

CONCLUSION

These cases emphasize the need for creating awareness and early referral to an ophthalmologist for detailed checkups if decreased visual acuity (especially uniconular) is suspected in children. Also, the regular health checkups of school-going children need to be monitored for their efficacy. Uniocular visual loss in all these patients could have been prevented if the timely intervention had been done.
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